

REMARKS

Reconsideration and withdrawal of the restriction and species requirements are respectfully requested in view of the above amendments and the following remarks.

Claims 3 and 4 have been amended so as to depend from claims 1 and 2, respectively. Claims 8 and 9 have been added and depend from claims 1 and 2, respectively.

1. Applicants provisionally elect, with traverse, to prosecute what has been characterized as Invention II, claims 1-4.

2. Furthermore, Applicants elect the species directed to the embodiments featured in claims 1 and 2. Accordingly, Applicants note that claims 1 and 2 will be examined together in this application.

3. Claim 1 is generic to claims 2-4, 8 and 9. Upon the allowance of claim 1 or 2, all claims which depend therefrom should also be allowed.

4. Withdrawal of the restriction requirement is believed to be appropriate. It is respectfully submitted that the restriction requirement inaccurately characterizes the invention of claims 1 and 2 as a "method of manufacturing" a liquid crystal display device. In fact, these claims feature a method of operating a liquid crystal display device to produce additive or monochrome color images. Therefore, it is respectfully submitted that restricting the invention of Groups I and II based on the argument that the product of Group I can be made by a materially different process than Group II, is improper. Accordingly, it is requested that the restriction requirement be

reconsidered and withdrawn.

Moreover, notwithstanding the foregoing, the avowed purpose of the Patent and Trademark Office in requiring restriction is the avoidance of a burdensome examination and multiple searches. However, MPEP §803 provides that if the search and examination of an entire application can be made without serious burden the Examiner must do so even if it is considered to include claims to two different or independent inventions.

It is respectfully submitted that the examination of all of the claims of this application will not place an undue burden on the PTO. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request that the Examiner withdraw the restriction requirement and concurrently examine the claims of all groups together in this application.

Respectfully submitted



Paul A. Serbinowski

Registration No.: 34,429

Date: May 20, 2005

Pearne & Gordon
1801 East 9th Street
Suite 1200
Cleveland, OH 44114-3108